

COMPLAINT AGAINST THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT:
KEVIN WEST, CURRENT CHAIRMAN/CEO/PRESIDENT OF
CMKM DIAMONDS, INC., (CMKM); BILL FRIZZELL, ATTORNEY
FOR CMKM; AND MARK FAULK, FORMER CEO/PRESIDENT OF
CMKM.

CMKM Diamonds, Inc.
P.O. Box 9575
Tyler, TX 75711

Bill Frizzell
Frizzell Law Firm
Texas Bar No. 07484500
602 S. Broadway
Tyler, Texas 75701
Tel. (903) 595-1921
Fax (903) 595-4383

The COALITION is filing this COMPLAINT with the Texas State Securities Board against the current CMKM management and a grievance with the State Bar of Texas against Bill Frizzell.

http://www.ssb.state.tx.us/Inspections_And_Compliance/File_A_Complaint.php

<http://www.texasbar.com>

COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT

***AFTER ENDURING MORE THAN THREE YEARS OF FEEBLE/INEPT ACTIONS, INACTIONS/NEGLIGENCE, SELF-SERVING AGENDA, AND POSSIBLE MALFEASANCE/UNJUST ENRICHMENT (hereinafter collectively referred to as "IMPOTENCE") OF THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT, PRO-ACTION CMKM SHAREHOLDERS HAVE BECOME FED UP, HAVE UNITED, AND HAVE FORMED THE CMKX SHAREHOLDERS COALITION FOR JUSTICE (hereinafter referred to as the "COALITION") FOR THE PURPOSE OF UNCOVERING THE TRUTH PERTAINING TO CMKM DIAMONDS, INC., (CMKM), OF COERCING THE PERPETRATORS TO COMPENSATE THE MEMBERS OF THE COALITION FOR THE HARM THAT HAS BEEN PERPETRATED AGAINST THEM, OF MAKING CERTAIN THAT SAID PERPETRATORS ARE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR THEIR MALFEASANCE, AND IN EXPOSING THE US GOVERNMENT AND THE US FINANCIAL MARKETS IN GENERAL AS BEING INEPT AND CORRUPT. FURTHERMORE, THE

COALITION INTENDS TO FILE LAWSUITS, COMPLAINTS, GRIEVANCES, AND LEGAL NOTICES AGAINST ANY AND ALL PERPETRATORS, WHICH INCLUDES, BUT IS NOT LIMITED TO, THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, THE DEPOSITORY TRUST AND CLEARING CORPORATION, HEDGE FUNDS, BROKERAGE FIRMS, MARKET MAKERS, BANKS, THE PREVIOUS CMKM MANAGEMENT, AND THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

The COALITION files on November 6th, 2009 a WRIT OF SUMMONS and STATEMENT OF CLAIM in the SUPREME COURT OF BRITISH COLUMBIA against the US SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC) for the purpose of exposing the SEC for being inept in its handling of the previous CMKM management's pump and dump scam (scam) and for being corrupt in its handling of the illegal naked short selling (NSS) of CMKM's stock, which caused innocent CMKM SHAREHOLDERS to lose hundreds of millions of dollars in the scam, an indeterminable amount of money in the NSS, and possibly their valuable mining claims in both the scam and the NSS.

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

The COALITION files on November 10th, 2009 on the 1 MILLION MILLIONAIRES BOARD A LEGAL NOTICE to SHAREHOLDERS that the COALITION gives SHAREHOLDERS two choices for the purpose of separating SHAREHOLDERS who agree with said ACTION of the COALITION from SHAREHOLDERS who disagree with said ACTION of the COALITION:

CHOICE #1

SHAREHOLDERS who disagree with said ACTION of the COALITION will either email or snail-mail to the COALITION within 30 days of the posting of this LEGAL NOTICE that they decline inclusion in the COALITION;

cmkxshareholderscoalition@hotmail.com

CMKX Shareholders Coalition for Justice
101-1865 Dilworth Drive
Suite 169

Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada
V1Y 9T1

CHOICE #2

SHAREHOLDERS who agree with said ACTION of the COALITION will neither email nor snail-mail the COALITION within 30 days of the posting of this LEGAL NOTICE and therefore will automatically be included in the COALITION on the 31st day after the posting of this LEGAL NOTICE.

Furthermore, the COALITION plans on running the LEGAL NOTICE in a US newspaper and in a Canadian newspaper, and on possibly recording the LEGAL NOTICE in a county recorder's office to satisfy any LEGAL REQUIREMENTS.

CASE IN POINT #3

FACTS

On April 23rd, 2010, the COALITION sends a REQUIREMENT LETTER, certified mail, to the current CMKM management REQUIRING that the current CMKM management sends in a PACKET, certified mail, to the COALITION, within 30 days of receipt of said certified letter, ALL of its responses to the REQUIREMENTS listed in said letter.

<http://www.cmkk.info>

The COALITION fails to receive any response from the current CMKM management within the REQUIRED 30 days and therefore feels that points to the following obvious explanations:

1. The current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the COALITION in exposing and taking ACTION against the perpetrators who/that were involved in the NSS of CMKM's stock;
2. The current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the COALITION in making certain that said perpetrators are held accountable for their malfeasance;
3. The current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the Coalition in coercing the perpetrators to compensate the members of the COALITION for the harm that has been perpetrated against them;
4. The current CMKM management has no plausible explanations for its IMPOTENCE and breaches of its fiduciary duties (hereinafter

referred to as "BREACHES") which has led to a deluge of contradictions, inconsistencies, and discrepancies in its PRs, CEO Updates, and FAQs;

5. The current CMKM management might in fact be aiding and abetting and protecting the very perpetrators who/that were involved in the NSS of CMKM's stock;

6. The current CMKM management is uninterested in assisting the COALITION in exposing the US government and the US financial markets in general as being inept and corrupt.

*****BOTH THE PREVIOUS CMKM MANAGEMENT AND THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT HAVE APPARENTLY FAILED TO CALL AN ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER MEETING THEREBY BREACHING CMKM'S BYLAWS, VIOLATING NRS 78.310-78.330, AND MAKING THEM GUILTY OF MISMANAGEMENT.**

CASE IN POINT

FACTS

According to the Ninth Cause of Action in Civil Action No. 2:07-cv-00215-RCJ-LRL, Hurd vs. CMKM Diamonds, Inc., February 19th, 2007,, United States District Court, District of Nevada, the previous CMKM management failed to call an annual shareholder meeting thereby breaching CMKM's bylaws, violating NRS 78.310-78.330, and making it guilty of mismanagement.

The current CMKM management has also apparently failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007, thereby also breaching CMKM's bylaws, violating NRS 78.310-78.330, and making it guilty of mismanagement.

According to the bylaws of CMKM:

1. Article I specifies that a shareholder meeting must take place annually;
2. Article II specifies that the term of each director shall expire on the day of the succeeding annual shareholder meeting.

In a March 30th, 2007 PR, Urban Casavant announces that he steps down as "Chairman of the Board, sole Director, President, Secretary and Treasurer of CMKM Diamonds, Inc." due to "health issues" and appoints Kevin West, current interim CEO and a person with neither apparent business nor mining background "to take over at the helm."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

In a March 30th, 2007 PR, Kevin West, CMKM Chairman of the Board, announces that "the first order of new business was to hire the Frizzell Law Firm...in a quest to recover monies and assets that belong to the shareholders of this Company."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

Because Urban Casavant apparently breaches CMKM's bylaws, his appointment of Kevin West "to take over at the helm" is NULL AND VOID; and Kevin West's subsequent hiring of Bill Frizzell is NULL AND VOID; and because the current CMKM management has failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007, every decision it has made since then is NULL AND VOID.

QUESTIONS

Does the current CMKM management know the dates of the annual shareholder meetings of the previous CMKM management?

Is the current CMKM management aware that if the previous CMKM management had failed to call an annual shareholder meeting, Urban Casavant's appointment of Kevin West "to take over at the helm" and Kevin West's subsequent hiring of Bill Frizzell are both NULL AND VOID?

Has the the current CMKM management called an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007?

Is the current CMKM management aware that if it has failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception, every decision it has made since then is NULL AND VOID?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to notify CMKM shareholders that the previous CMKM management had failed to call an annual shareholder meeting?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

***THE MEMBERS OF THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT ATTEMPT TO COVER UP THEIR IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES BY POSTING UNPROFESSIONAL CEO UPDATES AND FAQs ON THEIR WEBSITE THAT ARE RIFE WITH CONTRADICTIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AND DISCREPANCIES.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

The members of the current CMKM management were advocates for stock market reform in general and champions for CMKM shareholder rights in particular before their stunning about-faces.

2005

The attitude of Bill Frizzell BEFORE he represents CMKM shareholders at the SEC Administrative Hearing (Hearing) and BEFORE he becomes a member of the CMKM Task Force (TF):

Predicated upon the pending Hearing, attorney/CMKM shareholder Bill Frizzell forms the Owners Group (OG) and offers to represent CMKM shareholders at the Hearing for \$50 per shareholder.

From that initial solicitation, approximately 5,000 CMKM shareholders send Bill Frizzell their \$50.

Bill Frizzell eventually solicits an additional \$25 from each shareholder.

From that second solicitation, approximately 2,500 CMKM shareholders send Bill Frizzell their \$25.

CMKM shareholders send Bill Frizzell a total of approximately \$312,500.

2006

MIDDLE OF YEAR

The attitude of Bill Frizzell AFTER the Hearing and AFTER the CMKM TF disbands:

A shareholder/OG member requests an update from Bill Frizzell.

According to Bill Frizzell's curt reply:

1. "CMKM shareholders paid for the work "John Martin hired" him "to do."
2. "His work was completed per the agreement (Phase I and Phase II) when the company withdrew its appeal and the ruling of Judge Murray became final."
3. "The contributions --\$312,500 total-- did not cover" his "out of pocket costs..."

Bill Frizzell neither gives the OG members an accounting of the \$312,500 that he allegedly spends nor a final report of his findings.

For all intents and purposes, Bill Frizzell spends an hour at the meeting prior to the Securities and Exchange Commission Administrative Hearing of May 10th, 2005 and spends another hour at said Hearing -- a total of two hours -- but "The contributions -- \$312,500 total-- did not cover" his "out of pocket costs..."

The position of Bill Frizzell BEFORE he becomes CMKM attorney:

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's April 25th, 2005 email to OG members:

"The company is (and has been) concerned about possible naked shorting of company stock. A plan for assessing the naked shorting position has been discussed. I cannot go into detail about the plan at this point. I can confirm that actions are contemplated which will identify the naked short position which may exist..."

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's September 30th, 2005 email to OG members:

"...We proved a huge naked short position in this company a long time ago...By my estimates there are at least a trillion and a half shares that have been sold in CMKX stock. When all shares (including foreign and obo accounts) are added to the mix, the total could exceed two trillion shares."

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's May 31th, 2006 letter to NASD:

"The Task Force has now received certs in the name of certain

brokerage companies. Our investigation reveals a potentially huge naked short position in at least two of the very companies that have sent us certs.

The position of Bill Frizzell AFTER he becomes CMKM attorney and the position of Kevin West AFTER he was appointed Chairman of the Board of CMKM:

FAQ #2 August 23rd, 2007

Q) What is the status of Susanne Trimbath's evaluation of the Naked Short Position of the Company thus far?

A) Susanne Trimbath continues her work on our shareholder audit. The naked short situation and the delivery failures are not a primary concern of management at this time.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

Mark Faulk's position BEFORE the inception of the current CMKM management:

Excerpt from Mark Faulk's June 1st, 2006 article, "The CMKX Story: When Too Much Isn't Enough."

"In all reality, the brokers should have delivered the CMKX stock within three days after they made the sale, as required by law. Instead, the stock hasn't even traded for the past seven months, and many of them still can't deliver.

Even though there were a total of 703 billion shares of CMKX, and even though the stock hasn't traded for almost seven months, brokers still haven't been able to deliver stock to all of the shareholders who have requested it, pointing to a potential short that could total in the billions of shares.

"Considering the fact that the DTCC has claimed that the amount of failed deliveries has never exceeded 500 million shares for the entire market, it's quite likely that the failed deliveries in this one little company....that no longer even trades....could surpass the entire number of fails claimed by the DTCC for the entire stock market."

<http://www.faulkingtruth.com/Articles/Investing101/1058.html>

Mark Faulk's position AFTER the inception of the current CMKM management:

Mark Faulk constantly disrespects and belittles CMKM shareholders

on his show, "The Faulking Truth Show," on Toginet.com when they broach the subject of their being victimized by the illegal naked short selling of CMKM stock.

QUESTIONS

What is the approximate date that Kevin West became aware that the stock of CMKM was being illegally naked shorted?

What caused Kevin West to become aware that the stock of CMKM was being illegally naked shorted?

What is the approximate date that Kevin West had an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

What caused Kevin West to have an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

Could the appointment of Kevin West as Chairman/CEO/President of CMKM have somehow caused him to have an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

What is the approximate date that Bill Frizzell became aware that the stock of CMKM was being illegally naked shorted?

What caused Bill Frizzell to become aware that the stock of CMKM was being illegally naked shorted?

What is the approximate date that Bill Frizzell had an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

What caused Bill Frizzell to have an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

Could the appointment of Bill Frizzell as attorney for CMKM have somehow caused him to have an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

What is the approximate date that Mark Faulk became aware that the stock of CMKM was being illegally naked shorted?

What caused Mark Faulk to become aware that the stock of CMKM was being illegally naked shorted?

What is the approximate date that Mark Faulk had an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

What caused Faulk to have an about-face pertaining to the stock of

CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

Could the appointment of Mark Faulk as CEO/President for CMKM somehow caused him to have an about-face pertaining to the stock of CMKM being illegally naked shorted?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to investigate the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

Instead of putting out professional press releases, the current CMKM management posts unprofessional CEO Updates and FAQs on its website.

FAQ #2 August 23rd, 2007

Q) Obviously, CMKM Diamonds Inc. is not financing all this legal activity from "just" the \$500+ dollars in the company's checking account. Please answer in detail: Where is the money from that is financing all salaries, rents, leases, lawyer fees, court costs and any other cost CMKM has had to pay at this time?

A) All litigation costs and expenses are being handled by Bill Frizzell with an agreement that all costs and expenses will be repaid by the Company when the Company is able to do so. Kevin West is being paid by CMKM a minimal salary from funds being loaned to the Company from various sources. All office administrative costs are being paid from these same funds.

The Company will not disclose its specific fee arrangements with the attorneys it has hired. Such information is highly confidential and could be used in many ways to harm the legal efforts of the

Company. Contractual arrangements between corporations and their attorneys are not proper matters for general public knowledge. It is fair to say that some attorneys are working on an hourly basis, some are working on a contingency basis and some are working on a combination of hourly fees and some contingent fees.

This company has received substantial contributions from shareholders since the financial plight of the Company and Mr. West has been made public. There are shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM. Several of these shareholders have agreed to fund any and all legal efforts if needed.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

QUESTIONS

Does Bill Frizzell possess the authority to enter, without prior shareholder approval, "an agreement that all costs and expenses will be repaid by the Company when the Company is able to do so?"

If so, what/who granted Bill Frizzell that authority?

Who/what are those "various sources?"

Are those "various sources" privy to information that SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL OF THE CMKM SHAREHOLDERS?

How EXACTLY would the Company's disclosure of "its specific fee arrangements with the attorneys it has hired" "harm the legal efforts of the Company?"

Is Bill Frizzell referring to CMKM shareholders as the "general public?"

If not, why are CMKM shareholders not being told about those "contractual arrangements?"

Does Bill Frizzell possess the authority to receive, without prior shareholder approval, "substantial contributions from shareholders" "who have substantial investments in CMKM?"

If so, what/who granted Bill Frizzell that authority?

What are the names of these "shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM?"

Are those "shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM" privileged to information that SHOULD BE MADE

AVAILABLE TO ALL OF THE CMKM SHAREHOLDERS?

What other benefits are these "shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM" enjoying?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to make information available to all of the CMKM shareholders?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #3

FACTS

After failing to take any appropriate ACTION since its inception on March 30th, 2007, the current CMKM management takes feeble action on the very same day a CMKM shareholder posts in the 1 MILLION MILLIONAIRES Forum, "Shareholders are united in Truth."

From September 12th, 2008 to July 2nd, 2009, the current CMKM management fails to put out a Press Release (PR).

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

On February 4th, 2009, a CMKM shareholder posts in the 1 MILLION MILLIONAIRES Forum, "Shareholders are united in Truth."

The following is part of said post:

"ACTIONS THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT SHOULD HAVE BEEN/SHOULD BE TAKING:

"1. Filing Complaints against the malfeasant trust funds that have illegally counterfeited CMKM shares with their massive Naked Short Selling (NSS);

"2. Filing Complaints against the malfeasant brokerage firms, market

makers and banks that are guilty of complicity in the massive NSS of CMKM shares;

"3. Pressuring the various governmental and enforcement agencies to perform their prescribed functions;

"4. Filing Complaints against governmental and enforcement workers who fail to perform their prescribed functions;

"5. Placing display ads in the newspapers and uploading YouTube videos both of which will expose the corruption in government in general and our CMKM plight in particular;

"6. Attempting to obtain official confirmation on the compensation for the Shareholders;

"7. Working to get CMKM shareholders included in Barack Obama's 800 billion stimulus package;

"8. Working in collaboration with the Deep Capture Campaign and Patrick Byrne."

On February 4th, 2009, the very same day of said post, the current CMKM management takes the following feeble actions:

"CORPORATE UPDATES ON FEBRUARY 4TH, 2009 CMKM PETITIONS CRIMINAL AGENCIES TO FILE CHARGES IN MAJOR STOCK MARKET SCANDAL AND TO: DOJ, FBI, AND IRS TASK FORCE INVESTIGATING CMKM DIAMONDS, INC. (CMKX): PETITION AND ITS CEO SHAREHOLDERS' CORNER UPDATE ON 2-8-09."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/corp_index.html

Most CMKM shareholders refuse to sign said petition and disregard the current CMKM management's sudden flurry of feeble actions because:

1. After 2 years of failing to take the appropriate ACTIONS, the current CMKM management posts its said petition on the exact same day that a CMKM shareholder posts, "Shareholders united in Truth," which clearly indicates that it is only attempting to cover up its nefarious agenda and fiduciary breaches of the previous 2 years and therefore is NOT really sincere in fighting for CMKM shareholders;

2. The current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent for failing to mention in said petition the MAIN perpetrators that have victimized CMKM shareholders:

A. Hedge funds that have illegally counterfeited CMKM shares with their massive naked short sales (NSS);

B. Brokerage firms, market makers and banks that are guilty of complicity in the massive NSS of CMKM shares;

C. The fractional U.S. Stock Clearing and Settlement System that allows naked short selling and the corrupt and inept Securities and Exchange Commission that fails to perform its sworn/fiduciary duties which are to oversee the securities markets, to enforce the federal securities laws, and to protect investors;

3. The current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent in declaring in said petition that CMKM shareholders were defrauded out of "over \$250 million" because that amount fails to take into consideration CMKM's illegal NSS status that denotes compensatory, punitive, and RICO damages;

4. A petition is in and of itself a feeble vehicle for fighting for CMKM shareholders;

5. The current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent for posting said petition on its website, instead of utilizing the standard legal procedures of mailing the petitions to ALL CMKM shareholders or of announcing said petition in an official PR.

6. The current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent for posting on its official CMKM website the names, cities, and states of the CMKM shareholders who have signed said petition thereby placing said CMKM shareholders at risk by giving a target list to the perpetrators when CMKM shareholders receive their fair and just compensation;

7. The current CMKM management is merely attempting to fix its irreparable relationships with CMKM shareholders;

8. The current CMKM management is merely attempting to align itself with and take credit for the ACTIONS taken by dynamic CMKM shareholders.

9. Most CMKM shareholders view the members of the current CMKM management as bozos and therefore have NO faith in anything that they propose.

CASE IN POINT #4

FACTS

The current CMKM management continues its feeble actions.

On February 2nd, 2009, the shareholder who posted "Shareholders are united in Truth," posts "Addendum to "Shareholders united in Truth.""

Excerpts from said post:

"THE APPARENT REASON THE CMKM MANAGEMENT HAS FAILED TO TAKE ANY APPROPRIATE ACTIONS SINCE ITS INCEPTION

"The Current CMKM management has apparently entered into an illegal contract with at least one the following perpetrators: the hedge funds, the DTCC, the SEC, the brokers, the bankers, and the market makers.

"Pursuant to said illegal contract, the current CMKM management promises to remain silent and to cover up CMKM's illegal naked short status that denotes fraud, RICO, and punitive damages as it pertains to CMKM stock and CMKM shareholders and to replace it with the legal status of failures to deliver which merely denotes bookkeeping errors.

"In return, current CMKM's management is allowed to reap the following immediate benefits: win a default judgment against former CMKM management and insiders and collect a 40% contingency fee; enjoy a \$15,000 monthly salary for doing nothing; select their cronies for the BOD and CEO; and any and all other benefits unknown at this time."

AFTER 2 YEARS OF FAILING TO TAKE THE APPROPRIATE ACTION, THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT TAKES THE FOLLOWING FEEBLE ACTIONS:

CORPORATE UPDATES ON FEBRUARY 4TH, 2009 CMKM PETITIONS CRIMINAL AGENCIES TO FILE CHARGES IN MAJOR STOCK MARKET SCANDAL AND TO: DOJ, FBI, AND IRS TASK FORCE INVESTIGATING CMKM DIAMONDS, INC. (CMKX): PETITION AND ITS CEO SHAREHOLDERS' CORNER UPDATE ON 2-8-09

FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

1. The current CMKM management apparently feels the post, "Shareholders are united in Truth" exposes its nefarious agenda and fiduciary breaches of the previous 2 years and captures the feelings

of the CMKM shareholders and therefore attempts to quell the storm;

2. The current CMKM management futilely attempts in 5 days to cover up its nefarious agenda and fiduciary breaches of the previous 2 years;

4. The current CMKM management futilely attempts in 5 days to compensate for its 2 years of failing to take the appropriate ACTIONS;

5. The current CMKM management futilely attempts in 5 days of feeble ACTION to fix its irreparable relationships with us CMKM shareholders;

6. The current CMKM management futilely attempts to align itself with the ACTIONS taken by dynamic CMKM shareholders and actually attempts to take credit for said ACTIONS.

REASONS MANY CMKM SHAREHOLDERS REFUSE TO SIGN THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT'S PETITION: "FEBRUARY 4th, 2009 CMKM PETITIONS CRIMINAL AGENCIES TO FILE CHARGES IN MAJOR STOCK MARKET SCANDAL:

1. After 2 years of failing to take the appropriate ACTIONS, the current CMKM Management's posting its said Petition on the exact same day that a shareholder posts, "Shareholders united in Truth," indicates that it is only attempting to cover up its nefarious agenda and fiduciary breaches of the previous 2 years and therefore is NOT really sincere in fighting for the harmed CMKM shareholders;

2. A Petition is in and of itself a feeble vehicle for fighting for the harmed CMKM shareholders;

3. The current CMKM management's posting said Petition on its website, instead of utilizing the standard legal procedures of mailing the petitions to ALL CMKM shareholders or of announcing said Petition in an official Press Release is flagrantly negligent;

4. The current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent for posting on its official CMKM website the names, cities, and states of the CMKM shareholders who have signed said Petition thereby placing said CMKM shareholders at risk by giving a target list to the perps when we CMKM shareholders receive our fair and just compensation;

5 The current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent for conveniently failing to mention in said Petition the MAIN perps that have victimized CMKM shareholders:

A. Hedge funds that have illegally counterfeited CMKM shares with their massive naked short selling (NSS);

B. Brokerage firms, market makers and banks that are guilty of complicity in the massive NSS of CMKM shares;

C. The fractional U.S. Stock Clearing and Settlement System that allows naked short selling;

D. The corrupt and inept Securities and Exchange Commission that fails to perform its sworn/fiduciary duties which are to oversee the securities markets, to enforce the federal securities laws, and to protect investors.

6. The current CMKM management's declaration in said Petition that CMKM shareholders were defrauded out of an "estimated \$250 million", "over \$250 million" conveniently fails to take into consideration CMKM's illegal NSS status that denotes fraud, RICO, and punitive damages, and therefore current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent in declaring said amount.

7. Most CMKM shareholders view the current CMKM management as bozos and therefore have NO faith in anything that they propose.

CMKM SHAREHOLDERS' DECLARATION

1. We CMKM shareholders DECLARE, and this DECLARATION equally protects those CMKM shareholders who have signed said Petition, those CMKM shareholders who have refused to sign said Petition, and those CMKM shareholders who are unaware of said Petition, that the fair and just compensation that is due us CMKM shareholders is NOT limited to the "\$250 million dollars" that the current CMKM management mentions in said Petition because that amount conveniently fails to take into consideration CMKM's illegal NSS status that denotes fraud, RICO, and punitive damages, and therefore current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent in limiting the fair and just compensation that is due us CMKM shareholders to said amount.

2. We CMKM shareholders DECLARE, and this DECLARATION equally protects those CMKM shareholders who have signed said Petition, those CMKM shareholders who have refused to sign said Petition, and those CMKM shareholders who are unaware of said Petition, that the perps who egregiously harmed us CMKM shareholders are NOT limited to "Mastermind John Edwards and CEO Urban Casavant" and the "high-powered attorneys, accountants, transfer agents, major banking institutions, brokerage

houses, and clearing firms" that "aided and abetted" "Mastermind John Edwards and CEO Urban Casavant" "in their crimes" and therefore current CMKM management is flagrantly negligent in failing to mention the trust funds that have illegally counterfeited CMKM shares with their massive naked short selling (NSS), the brokerage firms, market makers and banks that are guilty of complicity in the massive NSS of CMKM shares, and the fractional U.S. Stock Clearing and Settlement System (System) that allows naked short selling (NSS).

3. We CMKM shareholders DECLARE, and this DECLARATION equally applies to those CMKM shareholders who have signed said Petition, to those CMKM shareholders who have refused to sign said Petition, and to those CMKM shareholders who are unaware of said Petition, that we CMKM shareholders will hold the current CMKM management fully responsible and liable for any harm that might be suffered to any CMKM shareholder as a consequence of the current CMKM management's irresponsible posting CMKM shareholders' names, cities, and states on its official CMKM website.

CASE IN POINT #5

FACTS

On September 18th, 2009, Kevin West, current Chairman/CEO/President of CMKM, posts a letter on the CMKM website addressed to CMKM shareholders in which he announces the resignation of Mark Faulk as CMKM CEO and further announces: "CMKM would like to give special thanks to Mark Faulk for helping to promote a nationwide level of awareness spotlighting the shareholders plight over the last several years."

Mark Faulk's comments: "My primary goals with CMKM were to ensure that criminal charges were filed against those who wronged the company and its shareholders, and to assist Kevin West and Bill Frizzell in their legal efforts. These indictments bring those goals to fruition."

<http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/index.html>

On September 11th, 2008, Kevin West announces in a PR that he has appointed Mark Faulk, a person with no mining background, as the new CEO and President of CMKM, a mining exploration company.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

From September 11th, 2008 to February 4th, 2009, the current

CMKM management, which of course includes Mark Faulk, fails to put out a Press Release or any other significant news ensuring "that criminal charges were filed against those who wronged the company and its shareholders, and to assist Kevin West and Bill Frizzell in their legal efforts."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

The total extent of Mark Faulk's "...helping to promote a nationwide level of awareness spotlighting the shareholders plight over the last several years..." and ensuring "...that criminal charges were filed against those who wronged the company and its shareholders..." was Mark Faulk's letter "To President Barack Obama January 20, 2009," which is in and of itself a feeble vehicle for promoting "a nationwide level of awareness spotlighting the shareholders plight over the last several years..."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/letter-m_index.html

Mark Faulk's letter was most likely read by low-level representatives of the recipients and then quickly discarded into a junk heap never to be seen or heard from again.

On February 4th, 2009, a CMKM shareholder posts in the 1 MILLION MILLIONAIRES Forum, "Shareholders are united in Truth."

Excerpt from said post:

ACTIONS THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT, WHICH OF COURSE INCLUDES MARK FAULK, SHOULD HAVE BEEN/SHOULD BE TAKING:

"1. Filing Complaints against the malfeasant trust funds that have illegally counterfeited CMKM shares with their massive Naked Short Selling (NSS);

"2. Filing Complaints against the malfeasant brokerage firms, market makers and banks that are guilty of complicity in the massive NSS of CMKM shares;

"3. Pressuring the various governmental and enforcement agencies to perform their prescribed functions;

"4. Filing Complaints against governmental and enforcement workers who fail to perform their prescribed functions;

"5. Placing display ads in the newspapers and uploading YouTube videos both of which will expose the corruption in government in

general and our CMKM plight in particular;

"6. Attempting to obtain official confirmation on the compensation for the Shareholders;

"7. Working to get CMKM shareholders included in Barack Obama's 800 billion stimulus package;

"8. Working in collaboration with the Deep Capture Campaign and Patrick Byrne."

On February 4th, 2009, the very same day of said post, the current CMKM management attempts to cover up its nefarious agenda and fiduciary breaches of the previous 2 years by taking the following feeble actions:

"CORPORATE UPDATES ON FEBRUARY 4TH, 2009 CMKM PETITIONS CRIMINAL AGENCIES TO FILE CHARGES IN MAJOR STOCK MARKET SCANDAL AND TO: DOJ, FBI, AND IRS TASK FORCE INVESTIGATING CMKM DIAMONDS, INC. (CMKX): PETITION AND ITS CEO SHAREHOLDERS' CORNER UPDATE ON 2-8-09."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/corp_index.html

<http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/index.html>

In essence, Mark Faulk attempts to undeservedly take credit for the Grand Jury Superseding Indictment 2-09-CR-00132-RLH-RJJ, May 27th, 2009, United States District Court, District of Nevada, (hereinafter referred to as "SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT") when in reality the current CMKM management, which of course includes Mark Faulk, only initiated its feeble actions as a direct reaction to the supra CMKM shareholder's post.

In other words, if the feeble actions of the current CMKM management, which of course includes Mark Faulk, were actually in part a catalyst for the SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT, the current CMKM management, which of course includes Mark Faulk, deserves no credit because, in taking said feeble actions, it was only attempting to cover up its nefarious agenda and fiduciary breaches of the previous 2 years .

In reality, all of the credit for the SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT goes to the pro-ACTION CMKM shareholders. They were/are the catalyst for the long-awaited criminal charges being filed against the previous CMKM management.

***THE IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES OF BILL FRIZZELL, CURRENT CMKM ATTORNEY, LEAD TO CONTRADICTIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AND DISCREPANCIES.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

Bill Frizzell appears to be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to inform the members of the OG whom he contracts to represent of his incriminating discovery concerning Urban Casavant.

DONALD STOECKLEIN DEPOSITION, January 24th, 2006

In said deposition, Donald Stoecklein testifies that Bill Frizzell told him that he is contemplating suing Urban Casavant.

END OF DEPOSITION

BILL FRIZZELL DEPOSITION, January 6th, 2006

In said deposition, Bill Frizzell testifies that Urban Casavant told him that he is doing nothing wrong; but Bill Frizzell further testifies that money, kickbacks from the illegal stock transactions, keeps appearing in Urban Casavant's accounts.

In said deposition, Bill Frizzell testifies that Phase II of the OG agreement was initiated because the shareholders wanted him to further investigate CMKM.

END OF DEPOSITION

QUESTIONS

Why did Bill Frizzell fail to inform the members of the OG that he had evidence that Urban Casavant was receiving kickbacks from illegal stock transactions?

Why did Bill Frizzell fail to immediately initiate a lawsuit against Urban Casavant?

Why did Bill Frizzell wait until April 25th, 2007 to file a lawsuit -- CMKM vs. UC et al, Case No. A540161, District Court, Clark County, Nevada -- against Urban Casavant?

Did Bill Frizzell keep any other pertinent information from the members of the OG?

Could Bill Frizzell be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to inform members of the OG of the incriminating evidence against Urban Casavant and for failing to immediately initiate a lawsuit against Urban Casavant?

Could Bill Frizzell be attempting to cover up his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the Bill Frizzell be civilly liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Bill Frizzell be criminally liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

Bill Frizzell is apparently guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to inform the members of the OG whom he contracts to represent of the naked short expert who analyzed CMKM stock.

DONALD STOECKLEIN DEPOSITION, January 24th, 2006

In said deposition, Donald Stoecklein testifies that a naked short expert from Oregon told both Bill Frizzell and him that a 14 to 1 short position exists in CMKM stock.

END OF DEPOSITION

BILL FRIZZELL DEPOSITION, January 6th, 2006

In said deposition, Bill Frizzell testifies repeatedly that CMKM was naked shorted.

END OF DEPOSITION

FAQ #2 August 23rd, 2007

Q) What is the status of Susanne Trimbath's evaluation of the Naked Short Position of the Company thus far?

A) Susanne Trimbath continues her work on our shareholder audit. The naked short situation and the delivery failures are not a primary concern of management at this time.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

QUESTIONS

Why did Bill Frizzell fail to inform the members of the OG that a naked short expert had told him that a 14 to 1 short position exists in CMKM stock?

Why did Bill Frizzell fail to fully pursue the analysis of the naked short expert?

What has occurred after January 6th, 2006 to cause Bill Frizzell to shift the naked short issue from a focal point of investigation to a "not a primary concern of management at this time?"

Could Bill Frizzell be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to inform the members of the OG whom he contracts to represent of the naked short expert who analyzed CMKM stock and for failing to fully pursue the analysis of the naked short expert?

Could Bill Frizzell be attempting to cover up his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could Bill Frizzell be civilly liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could Bill Frizzell be criminally liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

***THE IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES OF KEVIN WEST, CURRENT CHAIRMAN/CEO/PRESIDENT OF CMKM , AND BILL FRIZZELL, CURRENT CMKM ATTORNEY, LEAD TO CONFLICTS OF INTEREST.

CASE IN POINT

FACTS

In a March 30th, 2007 PR, Kevin West, CMKM Chairman of the Board, announces that "the first order of new business was to hire the Frizzell Law Firm..." "...in a quest to recover monies and assets that belong to the shareholders of this Company."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

According to Wikipedia: "A company's shareholders collectively own that company."

<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Shareholder>

FAQ #2 August 23rd, 2007

QUESTION

Obviously, CMKM Diamonds Inc. is not financing all this legal activity from "just" the \$500+ dollars in the company's checking account. Please answer in detail: Where is the money from that is financing all salaries, rents, leases, lawyer fees, court costs and any other cost CMKM has had to pay at this time?

ANSWER

All litigation costs and expenses are being handled by Bill Frizzell with an agreement that all costs and expenses will be repaid by the Company when the Company is able to do so. Kevin West is being paid by CMKM a minimal salary from funds being loaned to the Company from various sources. All office administrative costs are being paid from these same funds.

The Company will not disclose its specific fee arrangements with the attorneys it has hired. Such information is highly confidential and could be used in many ways to harm the legal efforts of the Company. Contractual arrangements between corporations and their attorneys are not proper matters for general public knowledge. It is fair to say that some attorneys are working on an hourly basis, some are working on a contingency basis and some are working on a combination of hourly fees and some contingent fees.

This company has received substantial contributions from shareholders since the financial plight of the Company and Mr. West has been made public. There are shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM. Several of these shareholders have agreed to fund any and all legal efforts if needed.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

QUESTIONS

Do Kevin West and Bill Frizzell possess the authority to enter, without the prior approval of CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM, "an agreement that all costs and expenses will be repaid by the Company when the Company is able to do so?"

Did CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM grant Kevin West and Bill Frizzell that authority?

If not, who granted Kevin West and Bill Frizzell that authority?

Who/what are the "various sources" that are loaning funds to CMKM?

Are those "various sources" privy to information that SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL of the CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM?

Are Kevin West and Bill Frizzell referring to CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM as the "general public?"

If not, why are CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM not being told about those "contractual arrangements?"

Do Kevin West and Bill Frizzell possess the authority to receive, without the prior approval CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM "substantial contributions from shareholders" "who have substantial investments in CMKM?"

Did CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM grant Kevin West and Bill Frizzell that authority?

If not, who granted Kevin West and Bill Frizzell that authority?

What are the names of these "shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM?"

Are those "shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM" privy to information that SHOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE TO ALL of the CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM?

What other benefits are these "shareholders who have substantial investments in CMKM" enjoying?

Does favoring one small segment of CMKM shareholders, the "various sources," over the rest of the CMKM shareholders/owners of CMKM create a conflict of interest?

Could Kevin West and Bill Frizzell be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by their entering into agreements without the prior approval of CMKM shareholders /owners of CMKM and by their withholding information?

Could Kevin West and Bill Frizzell be attempting to cover up their IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could Kevin West and Bill Frizzell be civilly liable for their IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could Kevin West and Bill Frizzell be criminally liable for their IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

***CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT APPEARS TO BE GUILTY OF IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES FOR FAILING TO CHALLENGE PENDING LITIGATION THAT APPEARS TO BE INCOMPLETE, INACCURATE, INADEQUATE, AND CONFLICTING.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

See COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST THE SEC (11-06-09)

THE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IN GENERAL AND LESLIE HAKALA, ENFORCEMENT DIVISION ATTORNEY FOR THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, IN PARTICULAR BELITTLE, DISREGARD, AND POSSIBLY SUPPRESS ANY AND ALL PERTINENT INFORMATION THAT FAILS TO FIT NEATLY INTO THEIR FOLLOWING PRECONCEIVED SMALL BOX: THE PUMP AND DUMP SCAM WAS PERPETRATED BY "CERTAIN" MEMBERS OF THE PREVIOUS CMKM MANAGEMENT AND "CERTAIN" OF THEIR AGENTS, BOTH OF WHOM UTILIZED A SMALL CONNIVING BROKER TO CARRY OUT THEIR SCAM. FURTHERMORE, THE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IN GENERAL AND LESLIE HAKALA IN PARTICULAR ACTUALLY APPEAR TO AID AND ABET/PROTECT ANY AND ALL PERPETRATORS WHO FAIL TO FIT NEATLY INTO THEIR PRECONCEIVED SMALL BOX.

IN OTHER WORDS, THE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IN GENERAL AND LESLIE HAKALA IN PARTICULAR NOT ONLY FAIL TO PERFORM THEIR SWORN/FIDUCIARY DUTIES WHICH ARE TO OVERSEE THE SECURITIES MARKETS, TO ENFORCE THE FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS, AND TO PROTECT INVESTORS, THEY HAVE ACTUALLY BECOME PERPETRATORS AGAINST THE VERY INVESTORS WHOM BY LAW THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO

PROTECT, ALL OF WHICH LEADS TO CONTRADICTIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AND DISCREPANCIES IN BOTH THE RELATED CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CMKM LITIGATION, CASES IN POINT #1, #2, #3, #4, #5, #6, #7, #8.

<http://www.cmkk.info>

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

See See COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST THE SEC (11-06-09)

EVEN WHEN THE ENFORCEMENT DIVISION OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IN GENERAL AND LESLIE HAKALA, ENFORCEMENT DIVISION ATTORNEY FOR THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION, IN PARTICULAR TAKE ACTION WITHIN THEIR PRECONCEIVED SMALL BOX, THEY PROVE TO BE INEPT AND THEREFORE FAIL TO PROVIDE ADEQUATE PROTECTION FOR INVESTORS; FURTHERMORE, THEY APPEAR TO AID AND ABET ANY AND ALL APPARENT PERPETRATOR WHO FAIL TO FIT NEATLY INTO THEIR PRECONCEIVED SMALL BOX, CASES IN POINT #1, #2.

<http://www.cmkk.info>

CASE IN POINT #3

FACTS

See See COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST THE SEC (11-06-09)

THE SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT IS APPARENTLY INCOMPLETE, INACCURATE, AND INADEQUATE, CASES IN POINT #1, #2, #3.

<http://www.cmkk.info>

***CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT APPEARS TO BE GUILTY OF IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES AND IN FACT MIGHT BE AIDING AND ABETTING/PROTECTING THE VERY PERPETRATORS THAT/WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE NSS OF CMKM'S STOCK AS EVIDENCED BY ITS STATEMENTS AND ALLEGED EXAMINATION/INVESTIGATION THAT CONTRADICTS CURRENT LITIGATION AND MITIGATES THE SEVERITY OF THE NSS OF CMKM STOCK.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

The current CMKM management repeatedly alleges that its examination shows "THERE IS NO TRUST FUND OR ANY MONEY SET ASIDE FOR SHAREHOLDERS" [emphasis added by author] and its "INVESTIGATION CONFIRMS THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS" [emphasis added by author] which contradicts Bivens Action, Civil Action No. 1000031, David Anderson, Lt. Col, et al, vs. Christopher Cox, et al, Complaint for Declaratory Judgment and for Damages for Violation of Civil Rights, January 4th, 2010, United States District Court, Central District of California, filed by A. Clifton Hodges, Esq., Hodges and Associates. (hereinafter referred to as the "Bivens Action")

<http://www.cmkkx.info/Hodges-3.87Trillion-Bivens-Lawsuit.pdf>

FAQ Session #2: August 23rd, 2007

Q) Are the company's current activities delaying or hindering ANY payment to shareholders from any other source?

A) THERE IS NO TRUST FUND OR ANY MONEY SET ASIDE FOR SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] There have been rumors of payouts and distributions to shareholders since 2003. AFTER A THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF BANK ACCOUNTS OF ALL COMPANY ACCOUNTS AND WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A FORENSIC ACCOUNTANT, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE DISTRIBUTION TO THE SHAREHOLDERS. OUR INVESTIGATION CONFIRMS THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author]

IF THERE IS ANY FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ANY ASSETS BELONGING TO THE COMPANY OR ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS, YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO CONTACT MR. WEST AND THE COMPANY WILL CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION. [emphasis added by author]

FAQ Session #3: September 6th, 2007

Q) Are there any payouts for stock holders [sic], or do we lose the money we invested? I hear rumors of payouts, but have no reason to believe them.

A) This question and several just like it have been answered many times in the past months. THE COMPANY KNOWS OF NO FUNDS

THAT HAVE EVER BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PAYMENT TO SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] For more information, please read the documents found on the Company website including the questions already asked and answered in this FAQ section.

FAQ Session #4: September 20th, 2007

Q) What's really going on? This is weird. Lots of stuff doesn't make sense. When will we be paid?

A) You are being given the facts and the whole truths in the filings made in the State court of Nevada. After receiving literally tens of thousands of new documents in the past few months, the Company cannot find any proof that there was ever a legitimate effort made by former management to increase shareholder value. While shareholders were being dazzled with optimistic press releases, insiders were converting corporate funds into private investments, real estate, automobiles, motor homes, fancy dinners, gambling and too many other acts of corporate waste and embezzlement to name in this forum.

Once again, OUR VERY IN DEPTH RESEARCH CONCLUDES THAT ABSOLUTELY NO FUNDS HAVE EVER BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PAYMENTS TO SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author]

FAQ Session #5: October 15th, 2007

Q) I'm hearing from a source that I can't disclose that the DaVinci-Franklin Fund 1 has money from the CMKX stocks that were sold. I researched it and the chairman is Robert Maheu and lawyer's group is Stoecklein. Is there any truth to this?

A) Our investigation suggests you are correct that Bob Maheu and Don Stoecklein are associated with the DaVinci-Franklin Fund 1. There is no evidence that this fund has ever been involved in CMKM Diamonds, Inc. As answered many times in CEO updates and FAQ responses, neither Mr. Maheu nor Mr. Stoecklein are presently involved in any way with CMKM Diamonds, Inc. After extensive research and discovery of bank records, stock records and other documents, THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT ONE SHRED OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE SUGGESTION THAN ANY FUNDS WERE EVER SET ASIDE IN ANY MANNER OR FORM FOR CMKM SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] The greater portion of all funds that we have identified as money derived from the sale of company issued stock was converted to personal use by past insiders of this Company and not used for the business of the

Company. There is no evidence of any profits or revenues from any business activity of the company.

FAQ Session #15: July 19th, 2008

Q) Is it true that CMKM has a new Board of Directors, the SEC has held our funds for two years and that Maheu was brought in by Citibank?

A) There is not one ounce of truth to such rumors. CMKM has one board member and one officer and that is Kevin West. THE SEC HAS NEVER HELD ANY FUNDS FOR CMKM AS A COMPANY OR FOR ITS SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] Bob Maheu was not brought in by Citibank or any other corporation.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

BIVENS ACTION

Paragraph 33

During the period from November, 2004 through April, 2005, CMKM Diamonds, Inc. negotiated the sale of some of its Saskatchewan, Canada mineral claims to three Chinese domiciled corporation with the advice and consent, inter alia, of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Proceeds from the confirmation of such sales were placed into a FROZEN TRUST [emphasis added by author] for disbursal at a later time.

Paragraph #34

During the period from March 2004 through August 2006, on behalf of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. Robert A. Maheu, with assistance from others, NEGOTIATED A SETTLEMENT [emphasis added by author] with the illegitimate brokers, dealers, market makers, hedge funds, and other persons and entities that had engaged in naked short selling of CMKM Diamonds Inc. stock and cellar boxing the company. In exchange of a U.S. Government promise of no prosecution for such sales, THE WRONGDOERS EACH PROMISED TO PAY NEGOTIATED AMOUNTS TO A FROZEN TRUST FOR DISBURSAL AT A LATER TIME. [emphasis added by author]

Paragraph #35

Plaintiffs are herein informed and believe, and based thereon alleged, that other MONEYS HAVE BEEN COLLECTED FOR THE BENEFIT OF THE SHAREHOLDERS OF CMKM, DIAMONDS INC.

FROM THE DEPOSITORY TRUST CLEARING CORPORATION, FROM THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT, AND FROM THE SALE OF ADDITIONAL ASSETS [emphasis added by author] including consent to enter into joint venture agreements with other companies holding mineral claims in Saskatchewan, Canada. Plaintiffs are herein further informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that said MONEYS, COLLECTED FOR THE BENEFIT OF SHAREHOLDERS HAVE ALSO BEEN PLACED IN A TRUST OR ARE OTHERWISE NOW HELD BY THE DEPOSITORY TRUST AND CLEARING CORPORATION AND THE UNITED STATES TREASURY. [emphasis added by author]

Paragraph #36

Plaintiffs are herein informed and believe, and based thereon allege, that at all times mentioned, THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RESERVED UNTO ITSELF THE SOLE AND ABSOLUTE DISCRETION TO DETERMINE WHEN MONEYS COLLECTED PURSUANT TO THE SCHEME SET FORTH ABOVE COULD AND WOULD BE RELEASED FOR DISTRIBUTION. [emphasis added by author]

In the article, "CMKM Diamonds and the \$3.87 Trillion Lawsuit You Didn't Hear About," Al Hodges is quoted as saying:

"Rob Maheu had all these people in a big room in Las Vegas, and made [an] offer to them."

"Every person, organization and representative in that room stepped up, and either transferred money while they were there, or agreed to transfer money upon some further schedule" to avoid indictment."

"I have a witness who was there, who saw it, and part of the 2.25 trillion phantom shares is documented by that person's observations of how many shares were represented in that room."

<http://www.examiner.com/x-9341-Manhatta....idnt-hear-about>

QUESTIONS

Why does the current CMKM management wait for over five months to issue a public statement concerning the landmark Bivens Action?

Is the current CMKM management's waiting for over five months to issue a public statement concerning said Bivens Action an indication that it wanted to keep a low profile?

Does the current CMKM management want to keep a low profile

because said Bivens Action exposes it as apparently being guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

What does the current CMKM management's alleged examination and investigation into a TRUST FUND set aside for CMKM shareholders consist of?

Is the current CMKM management familiar with the quotes of Al Hodges in the article, "CMKM Diamonds and the \$3.87 Trillion Lawsuit You Didn't Hear About?"

Why did the current CMKM management's alleged examination and investigation fail to uncover Robert Maheu's actions as quoted by Al Hodges in said article?

Is the current CMKM management aware that the findings of its alleged examination and investigation THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS contradict the Plaintiffs in said Bivens Action who allege that MONEYS FROM SALES AND SETTLEMENTS WERE PLACED IN FROZEN TRUSTS FOR DISBURSAL AT A LATER TIME?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile that contradiction?

Is the current CMKM management aware that its statement that THE SEC HAS NEVER HELD ANY FUNDS FOR CMKM AS A COMPANY OR FOR ITS SHAREHOLDERS contradicts Plaintiffs in said Bivens Action who allege THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION RESERVED UNTO ITSELF THE SOLE AND ABSOLUTE DISCRETION TO DETERMINE WHEN MONEYS COLLECTED PURSUANT TO THE SCHEME SET FORTH ABOVE COULD AND WOULD BE RELEASED FOR DISTRIBUTION?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile that contradiction?

Now that the current CMKM management is aware the Plaintiffs in said Bivens Action allege MONEYS FROM SALES AND SETTLEMENTS WERE PLACED IN FROZEN TRUSTS FOR DISBURSAL AT A LATER TIME, is the current CMKM management going to conduct a full investigation?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to conduct an adequate examination and investigation into a TRUST FUND SET ASIDE AND MADE AVAILABLE FOR DISTRIBUTION TO CMKM SHAREHOLDER?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by waiting five months to issue a public statement concerning said Bivens Action and by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

The current CMKM management repeatedly refers to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock (which denotes fraud, punitive, and RICO damages) as FTD (which merely denotes bookkeeping errors) which contradicts said Bivens Action and the viewpoint of Bill Frizzell BEFORE he became CMKM attorney.

FAQ Session #2: August 23rd, 2007

Q) What is the status of Susanne Trimbath's evaluation of the Naked Short Position of the Company thus far?

Susanne Trimbath continues her work on our shareholder audit. The naked short situation and the DELIVERY FAILURES [emphasis added by author] are not a primary concern of management at this time. Keeping the Company alive and returning to trading status is the focus of our work.

FAQ Session #6: October 30th, 2007

Q) Do we have undeniable proof that NSS has taken place and what is the count at this point in time?

A) The Company does have proof that there are FAILURES TO DELIVER [emphasis added by author] in CMKM stock. Until our shareholder audit is complete, we will not have a count as to how many shares or brokerage houses are involved.

FAQ Session #12: April 16th, 2008

Q) Is the naked short or fails to deliver in CMKX a dead issue?

A) The Company believes that a significant FAILURE TO DELIVER [emphasis added by author] problem still exists in our stock. We are devoting enormous efforts to rebuilding and restructuring the company with the ultimate goal of being a traded security once again.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's April 25th, 2005 email to OG members BEFORE he became CMKM attorney:

"The company is (and has been) concerned about possible NAKED SHORTING [emphasis added by author] of company stock. A plan for assessing the NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position has been discussed. I cannot go into detail about the plan at this point. I can confirm that actions are contemplated which will identify the NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position which may exist..."

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's September 30th, 2005 email to OG members BEFORE he became CMKM attorney:

"...We proved a huge NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position in this company a long time ago...By my estimates there are at least a trillion and a half shares that have been sold in CMKX stock. When all shares (including foreign and obo accounts) are added to the mix, the total could exceed two trillion shares."

Excerpt from Bill Frizzell's May 31st, 2006 letter to NASD BEFORE he became CMKM attorney:

"The Task Force has now received certs in the name of certain brokerage companies. Our investigation reveals a potentially huge NAKED SHORT [emphasis added by author] position in at least two of the very companies that have sent us certs."

BIVENS ACTION

Paragraph #31

During the period of June 1, 2004 through October 28, 2005 a total of 2.25 Trillion "PHANTOM" [emphasis added by author] shares of CMKM Diamonds Inc., was sold into the public market through legitimate brokers, illegitimate brokers and dealers, market makers, hedge funds, ex-clearing transactions and private transactions. The sales of the majority of such shares were at all times known to the Securities and Exchange Commission, including Defendants herein.

Paragraph #32

At some date prior to June 1, 2004 the Securities and Exchange Commission in concert with the Department of Justice of the United States, together combined with Robert A. Maheu and others to utilize CMKM Diamonds, Inc. for the purpose of trapping a number of widely disbursed entities and persons who were believed to be engaged in NAKED SHORT SELLING [emphasis added by author] of CMKM Diamonds Inc. stock and cellar boxing the company..."

Paragraph #34

During the period from March 2004 through August 2006, on behalf of CMKM Diamonds, Inc. Robert A. Maheu, with assistance from others, negotiated a settlement with the illegitimate brokers, dealers, market makers, hedge funds, and other persons and entities that had engaged in NAKED SHORT SELLING [emphasis added by author] of CMKM Diamonds Inc. stock and cellar boxing the company. In exchange of a U.S. Government promise of no prosecution for such sales, the wrongdoers each promised to pay negotiated amounts to a frozen trust for disbursal at a later time. [emphasis added by author]

QUESTIONS

What has occurred since the current CMKM management's inception on March 30th, 2007 to cause the current CMKM management to begin referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as the legal FTD?

Has the current CMKM management entered into an illegal agreement with one or more of the perpetrators -- the DTCC, the SEC, the hedge funds, the brokers, the bankers, the market makers--to cover up the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock and replace it with the legal FTD?

Is the illegal agreement in which the current CMKM management has apparently entered the reason that it has failed to take any appropriate ACTION such as the Bivens Action?

Is the illegal agreement in which the current CMKM management has apparently entered the reason that it pursues low-return lawsuits against the previous CMKM management instead of pursuing high-return lawsuits against the DTCC, the SEC, the hedge funds, the brokers, the bankers, and the market makers?

Is the illegal agreement in which the current CMKM management has apparently entered the reason that it has failed to bring CMKM

to trade again, which, if done correctly, would force the naked short sellers to cover their positions and increase the price per share of CMKM stock?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as the legal FTD?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by waiting over five months to issue a public statement concerning said Bivens Action and by failing to respond to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

***THE ONLY TIME THAT THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT TAKES ACTION OR GIVES INFORMATIVE UPDATES TO CMKM SHAREHOLDERS IS WHEN ITS IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES ARE BEING EXPOSED.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

The current CMKM management attempts to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES since its inception on March 30th, 2007.

See supra THE MEMBERS OF THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT ATTEMPT TO COVER UP THEIR IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES BY POSTING UNPROFESSIONAL CEO UPDATES AND FAQs ON THEIR WEBSITE WHICH ARE RIFE WITH CONTRADICTIONS, INCONSISTENCIES, AND DISCREPANCIES, CASES IN POINT #3, #4.

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

On May 10th, 2010, The COALITION posts in the various CMKM-related forums that it has filed an INTERIM COMPLAINT with the Texas State Securities Board against the current CMKM

management and a grievance with the State Bar of Texas against Bill Frizzell.

The INTERIM COMPLAINT encompasses the following:

The current CMKM management's alleged examination/investigation contradicts the Bivens action.

On May 13th, 2010, Bill Frizzell signs and posts a Corporate Litigation Update on the CMKM Diamonds, Inc., website.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/corp_index.html

In his Corporate Litigation Update, Bill Frizzell answers supra CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT APPEARS TO BE GUILTY OF IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES AND IN FACT MIGHT BE AIDING AND ABETTING/PROTECTING THE VERY PERPETRATORS THAT/WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE NSS OF CMKM'S STOCK AS EVIDENCED BY ITS STATEMENTS AND ALLEGED EXAMINATION/INVESTIGATION THAT CONTRADICTS CURRENT LITIGATION AND MITIGATES THE SEVERITY OF THE NSS OF CMKM STOCK, CASE IN POINT #1 with the following responses:

CORPORATE LITIGATION UPDATE

"Al Hodges' Bivens Suit -- After discussing this issue with a couple of shareholders, I thought it necessary to explain CMKM's legal position regarding the Hodges' suit with more clarity."

"The Company has stated publicly that IT DOES NOT HAVE ANY EVIDENCE OF FUNDS AWAITING THE SHAREHOLDERS. THIS DOES NOT MEAN THERE ARE NO FUNDS BEING HELD IN TRUST FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS. THE COMPANY SIMPLY HAS NO EVIDENCE OF THE EXISTENCE OF THESE FUNDS."
[emphasis added by author]

END OF CORPORATION LITIGATION UPDATE

FAQ Session #2: August 23, 2007

Q) Are the company's current activities delaying or hindering ANY payment to shareholders from any other source?

A) THERE IS NO TRUST FUND OR ANY MONEY SET ASIDE FOR SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] There have been rumors of payouts and distributions to shareholders since 2003. AFTER A THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF BANK ACCOUNTS OF ALL COMPANY ACCOUNTS AND WITH THE ASSISTANCE OF A

FORENSIC ACCOUNTANT, THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS AVAILABLE FOR THE DISTRIBUTION TO THE SHAREHOLDERS. OUR INVESTIGATION CONFIRMS THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author]

IF THERE IS ANY FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ANY ASSETS BELONGING TO THE COMPANY OR ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS, YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO CONTACT MR. WEST AND THE COMPANY WILL CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION. [emphasis added by author]

FAQ Session #4: September 20th, 2007

Q) What's really going on? This is weird. Lots of stuff doesn't make sense. When will we be paid?

A) You are being given the facts and the whole truths in the filings made in the State court of Nevada. After receiving literally tens of thousands of new documents in the past few months, the Company cannot find any proof that there was ever a legitimate effort made by former management to increase shareholder value. While shareholders were being dazzled with optimistic press releases, insiders were converting corporate funds into private investments, real estate, automobiles, motor homes, fancy dinners, gambling and too many other acts of corporate waste and embezzlement to name in this forum.

Once again, OUR VERY IN DEPTH RESEARCH CONCLUDES THAT ABSOLUTELY NO FUNDS HAVE EVER BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PAYMENTS TO SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author]

FAQ Session #5: October 15th, 2007

Q) I'm hearing from a source that I can't disclose that the DaVinci-Franklin Fund 1 has money from the CMKX stocks that were sold. I researched it and the chairman is Robert Maheu and lawyer's group is Stoecklein. Is there any truth to this?

A) Our investigation suggests you are correct that Bob Maheu and Don Stoecklein are associated with the DaVinci-Franklin Fund 1. There is no evidence that this fund has ever been involved in CMKM Diamonds, Inc. As answered many times in CEO updates and FAQ responses, neither Mr. Maheu nor Mr. Stoecklein are presently involved in any way with CMKM Diamonds, Inc. After extensive research and discovery of bank records, stock records and other

documents, THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT ONE SHRED OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE SUGGESTION THAN ANY FUNDS WERE EVER SET ASIDE IN ANY MANNER OR FORM FOR CMKM SHAREHOLDERS. [emphasis added by author] The greater portion of all funds that we have identified as money derived from the sale of company issued stock was converted to personal use by past insiders of this Company and not used for the business of the Company. There is no evidence of any profits or revenues from any business activity of the company.

QUESTIONS

Is the current CMKM management aware of supra Bivens Action, Paragraph #32?

Is the current CMKM management aware that the operation described in Bivens Action, Paragraph #32, occurred at least three years BEFORE the findings of its alleged examination/investigation ?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to uncover said operation?

Is the current CMKM management aware of Bivens Action, Paragraph #33?

Is the current CMKM management aware that the sale described in Bivens Action, Paragraph #33, occurred at least three years BEFORE the findings of its alleged examination/investigation?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to uncover said sale?

Is the current CMKM management aware of Bivens Action, Paragraph #34?

Is the current CMKM management aware that the settlement described in Bivens Action, Paragraph #34, occurred at least three years BEFORE the findings of its alleged examination/investigation?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to uncover said settlement?

Is the current CMKM management aware of Bivens Action, Paragraph #36?

Is the current CMKM management aware that the moneys described in Bivens Action, Paragraph #36, occurred at least three years

BEFORE the findings of its alleged examination/investigation?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to uncover said moneys?

Is the current CMKM management aware that in FAQ Session #2: August 23, 2007, it posts, "OUR INVESTIGATION CONFIRMS THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS?" [emphasis added by author]

Is the current CMKM management aware that in FAQ Session #4: September 20th, 2007, it posts, "OUR VERY IN DEPTH RESEARCH CONCLUDES THAT ABSOLUTELY NO FUNDS HAVE EVER BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PAYMENTS TO SHAREHOLDERS?" [emphasis added by author]

Is the current CMKM management aware that in FAQ Session FAQ Session #5: October 15th, 2007, it posts "THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT ONE SHRED OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE SUGGESTION THAN ANY FUNDS WERE EVER SET ASIDE IN ANY MANNER OR FORM FOR CMKM SHAREHOLDERS?" [emphasis added by author]

Is the current CMKM management aware that in a Corporate Litigation Update on May 13th, 2010, Bill Frizzell posts, "IT DOES NOT HAVE ANY EVIDENCE OF FUNDS AWAITING THE SHAREHOLDERS?"

Is the current CMKM management aware that the phrases "OUR INVESTIGATION CONFIRMS THAT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A FUND SET ASIDE FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS," "OUR VERY IN DEPTH RESEARCH CONCLUDES THAT ABSOLUTELY NO FUNDS HAVE EVER BEEN SET ASIDE FOR PAYMENTS TO SHAREHOLDERS," and "THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NOT ONE SHRED OF EVIDENCE TO SUPPORT THE SUGGESTION THAN ANY FUNDS WERE EVER SET ASIDE IN ANY MANNER OR FORM FOR CMKM SHAREHOLDERS" communicate a completely different meaning than does the phrase, "IT DOES NOT HAVE ANY EVIDENCE OF FUNDS AWAITING THE SHAREHOLDERS?" [emphasis added by author]

Could the current CMKM management be exposing its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to uncover the operation described in Bivens Action, Paragraph #32, for failing to uncover the sale described Bivens Action, Paragraph #33, for failing to uncover the settlement described in Bivens Action, Paragraph #34, and for failing to uncover the moneys described in Bivens Action, Paragraph #36?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by posting its Corporate Litigation Update on May 13th, 2010 instead of responding to the COALITION's REQUIREMENT LETTER?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

***EVEN WHEN THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT IS BEING EXPOSED FOR ITS IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES, IT CONVENIENTLY IGNORES QUESTIONS IN WHICH IT HAS NO PLAUSIBLE EXPLANATIONS.

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

On May 10th, 2010, The COALITION posts in the various CMKM-related forums that it has filed an INTERIM COMPLAINT with the Texas State Securities Board against the current CMKM management and a grievance with the State Bar of Texas against Bill Frizzell.

The INTERIM COMPLAINT encompasses the following:

1. The current CMKM management attempts to mitigate the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock by referring to it as FTD.

See supra CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT APPEARS TO BE GUILTY OF IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES AND IN FACT MIGHT BE AIDING AND ABETTING/PROTECTING THE VERY PERPETRATORS THAT/WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE NSS OF CMKM'S STOCK AS EVIDENCED BY ITS STATEMENTS AND ALLEGED EXAMINATION/INVESTIGATION THAT CONTRADICTS CURRENT LITIGATION AND MITIGATES THE SEVERITY OF THE NSS OF CMKM STOCK, CASE IN POINT #2

On 5-13-10, Bill Frizzell signs and posts a Corporate Litigation Update on the CMKM Diamonds, Inc., website.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/corp_index.html

In his Corporate Litigation Update, Bill Frizzell conveniently ignores

the question as to why the current CMKM management began referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as FTD.

QUESTIONS

Why does Bill Frizzell conveniently ignore the question as to why the current CMKM management began referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as FTD, thereby losing the opportunity to finally put to rest once and for all the notion that the current CMKM management entered into an illegal agreement with one or more of the perpetrators?

Could it be that Bill Frizzell has no plausible explanation as to why the current CMKM management began referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as FTD rather than NSS?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as FTD?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by conveniently ignoring the question?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

On May 10th, 2010⁵, The COALITION posts in the various CMKM-related forums that it has filed an INTERIM COMPLAINT with the Texas State Securities Board against the current CMKM management and a grievance with the State Bar of Texas against Bill Frizzell.

The INTERIM COMPLAINT encompasses the following:

The current CMKM management has apparently failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007, thereby breaching CMKM's bylaws, violating NRS 78.310-78.330, and making it guilty of mismanagement.

See supra BOTH THE PREVIOUS CMKM MANAGEMENT AND THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT HAVE APPARENTLY FAILED TO CALL AN ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER MEETING THEREBY BREACHING CMKM'S BYLAWS, VIOLATING NRS 78.310-78.330, AND MAKING THEM GUILTY OF MISMANAGEMENT.

On May 13th, 2010, Bill Frizzell signs and posts a Corporate Litigation Update on the CMKM Diamonds, Inc., website.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/corp_index.html

In his Corporate Litigation Update, Bill Frizzell conveniently ignores the question of the current CMKM management's failing to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007.

QUESTIONS

Why does Bill Frizzell conveniently ignore the the question of the current CMKM management's failing to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007, thereby losing the opportunity to finally put to rest once and for all the notion that it has breached CMKM's bylaws, violated NRS 78.310-78.330, and is guilty of mismanagement?

Could it be that Bill Frizzell has no plausible explanation as to why the current CMKM management has failed to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007?

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by conveniently ignoring the question?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

***THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT MAKES IDLE PROMISES JUST TO COVER UP ITS IMPOTENCE AND

BREACHES AND TO PACIFY CMKM SHAREHOLDERS.

CASE IN POINT

FACTS

The current CMKM management repeatedly promises "IF THERE IS ANY FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ANY ASSETS BELONGING TO THE COMPANY OR ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS..."..."THE COMPANY WILL CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION."

FAQ Session #2: August 23rd, 2007

Q) Are the company's current activities delaying or hindering ANY payment to shareholders from any other source?

A) There is no trust fund or any money set aside for shareholders. There have been rumors of payouts and distributions to shareholders since 2003. After a thorough examination of bank accounts of all company accounts and with the assistance of a forensic accountant, there is no evidence of any funds available for the distribution to the shareholders. Our investigation confirms that there has never been a fund set aside for the shareholders.

IF THERE IS ANY FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ANY ASSETS BELONGING TO THE COMPANY OR ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS, YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO CONTACT MR. WEST AND THE COMPANY WILL CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION. [emphasis added by author]

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/faq_index.html

On May 13th, 2010, Bill Frizzell signs and posts a Corporate Litigation Update on the CMKM Diamonds, Inc., website.

In his Corporate Litigation Update, Bill Frizzell posts: "I personally think Al Hodges is a very capable and experienced attorney."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/corp_index.html

Excerpts of letters from A. Clifton Hodges to President Barack Obama

May 14, 2010

I write to you this morning because people within your current

administration continue to frustrate dissemination of the WORLD GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS; [emphasis added by author] I am advised today that Mr. Leon Panetta participated in this act on May 14, 2010. I REPRESENT SOME 50,000 SHAREHOLDERS WHO ARE TO BE PAID A SETTLEMENT WHICH CONSISTS MAINLY OF MONIES COLLECTED FROM BANKS, BROKERAGES, HEDGE FUND CORPS, MARKET MAKERS, THE DEPOSITORY TRUST CORPORATION/FEDERAL RESERVE, AND VARIOUS BILLIONAIRE "NAKED-SHORTER" INDIVIDUALS, AS WELL AS SOME MONIES DUE FROM THE SEC FOR DAMAGES. THESE VARIOUS MONIES COLLECTED HAVE BEEN HELD FAR LONGER THAN THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN..." [emphasis added by author]

May 19, 2010

I write to you again this morning because your immediate assistance is required to ensure prompt dissemination of the WORLD GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS. [emphasis added by author]

These various MONIES collected have been swept into the WORLD GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS resulting in a substantial payment delay. [emphasis added by author]

I am currently advised and understand the following:

A portion of the WORLD GLOBAL SETTLEMENT FUNDS [emphasis added by author] have been collected and are presently held in the custody of a Richmond, VA bank.

Said FUNDS [emphasis added by author] are sufficient to cover all disbursements to be made by the authority of one Lindell H. (Bill) Bonney, Sr., the Paymaster.

Mr. Bonney has spent more than eight weeks over the past three months, in Richmond, for the purpose of consummating these TRANSFERS. [emphasis added by author]

Mr. Bonney has, at the direction of the Pentagon, London, et. al., recently returned to Richmond to consummate the TRANSFERS [emphasis added by author] and is standing by to do so.

Mr. Bonney has been, most recently, directed to complete his MONETARY TRANSFER [emphasis added by author] duties by the conclusion of this date; again, he is standing by to do so.

Mr. Bonney was advised this morning, by the referenced bank, that the bank could not allow the TRANSFERS [emphasis added by

author] to be made until authority was received directly from the White House.

I am advised that you have previously given written approval of these TRANSFERS; [emphasis added by author] accordingly, I am not aware of any further basis for delay.

May 20, 2010

I have also been involved in the representation of other payees awaiting this DISTRIBUTION and have, in such capacity, been in direct communication with the UK Royal Monarch. [emphasis added by author]

I am currently advised and understand the following:

As I advised yesterday in my communication to you, I am persuaded by these facts, that only your direct intervention will be efficacious in bringing this matter to conclusion. Mr. President, please provide, once again, your specific written authority and direction to those who continue to frustrate completion of these WORLD GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS. [emphasis added by author] I would very much appreciate your written confirmation that you will do so without delay; accordingly, I will withhold further communication to the UK Royal Monarch and distribution of this correspondence to my clients until 4:30 PM EDT today.

May 27, 2010

I write to you again this afternoon in furtherance of my previous recent correspondence regarding prompt dissemination of the WORLD GLOBAL SETTLEMENTS. [emphasis added by author]

I am currently advised and understand the following:

- Said FUNDS are sufficient to cover all DISBURSEMENTS to be made by the authority of the Paymaster who has now spent more than eight weeks over the past three months, in Richmond, for the purpose of concluding these REANSFERS. [emphasis added by author]

- This morning he was advised by “both sides” that each desired this matter to be concluded as soon as possible and that he should be available to enter the bank to consummate the TRANSFERS. [emphasis added by author]

- AS OF 6:00 P, EDT, THE PAYMASTER AUTHORITY PERSONALLY ADVISED ME THAT YOU PERSONALLY, MR

PRESIDENT, WANTED AND HAD DIRECTED THAT THESE FUNDS BE HELD THROUGHOUT THE COMING HOLIDAY WEEKEND.

- I have previously been advised that you had given specific written authorization of these TRANSFERS [emphasis added by author] and confirmed the same verbally just this week.

Mr President, I sincerely hope that my information is incorrect; because, as I am certain you are aware, your personal involvement in delaying this DISTRIBUTION [emphasis added by author] is an ultra vires action which exposes you to personal liability for the sums involved and for accruing interest thereon. I would certainly not want to see you personally involved in the future dénouement of this matter.

<http://www.cmkm.info>

QUESTIONS

Is the current CMKM management aware of the letters, dated May 14, 2010, May 19, 2010, May 20, 2010, and May 27, 2010, that A. Clifton Hodges faxed to President Barack Obama?

Does the current CMKM management view the wording in the letters such as "50,000 SHAREHOLDERS WHO ARE TO BE PAID A SETTLEMENT, MONIES DUE FROM THE SEC FOR DAMAGES, WORLD GLOBAL SETTLEMENT FUNDS, and MONETARY TRANSFER" strong enough to be considered "FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ANY ASSETS BELONGING TO THE COMPANY OR ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS?"

If so, will the current CMKM management "CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION?"

Because Bill Frizzell thinks "Al Hodges is a very capable and experienced attorney," will the current CMKM management "CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION?"

Is the current CMKM management aware that Bill Frizzell's current statement in his Corporate Litigation Update, "I am hopeful that his litigation is successful but CMKM management does not have the luxury of waiting to see what will come of that lawsuit," contradicts the current CMKM management's previous statement, "IF THERE IS ANY FACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ANY ASSETS BELONGING TO THE COMPANY OR ANY EVIDENCE OF ANY FUNDS EARMARKED FOR THE SHAREHOLDERS, YOU ARE ENCOURAGED TO

CONTACT MR. WEST AND THE COMPANY WILL CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION?

How does/will the current CMKM management reconcile that contradiction?

Could the current CMKM management be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for having already failed to "CONDUCT A FULL INVESTIGATION?"

Could the current CMKM management be attempting to cover up its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to issue a public statement concerning said letters from A. Clifton Hodges to President Barack Obama?

Could the current CMKM management be civilly liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the current CMKM management be criminally liable for its IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

SUMMATION

The COALITION uses available facts in its COMPLAINT to paint a very disturbing picture:

The current CMKM management has apparently entered into an illegal contract with one or more of the perpetrators -- the SEC, the DTCC, hedge funds, brokerage firms, market makers -- to the detriment of its fellow CMKM shareholders, the very people to whom it owes a fiduciary duty. That is akin to a brother who accepts hush money from the mugger who mugged his sister.

Furthermore, the current CMKM management appears to be guilty of mismanagement for breaching CMKM's bylaws and violating NRS 78.310-78.330 for failing to call an annual shareholder meeting since its inception on March 30th, 2007.

The COALITION is confident that when the Texas State Securities Board and the State Bar of Texas further investigate the current CMKM management, it will uncover evidence that corroborates this COMPLAINT.

The COALITION respectfully requests the following:

1. The appropriate agency ousts the current CMKM management

and appoints a temporary Receiver until an annual shareholder meeting is called and bona fide CMKM shareholders get a chance to vote for each director;

2. The State Bar of Texas disbars Bill Frizzell for violating the Oath of Attorney;

3. The appropriate agencies file civil charges against each member of the current CMKM management

4. The appropriate agencies file criminal charges against each member of the current CMKM management;

Thank you,

CMKX Shareholders Coalition for Justice
Dave Nelson
101-1865 Dilworth Drive
Suite 169
Kelowna, British Columbia, Canada
V1Y 9T1
cmkxshareholderscoalition@hotmail.com