

***KEVIN WEST, CURRENT CHAIRMAN/CEO/PRESIDENT OF CMKM DIAMONDS, INC., (CMKM) (hereinafter referred to as the "DUKE OF DUPLICITY") ATTEMPTS TO JUSTIFY HIS "IMPOTENCE" AND "BREACHES" (see "COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT (05-24-10)" for what constitutes "IMPOTENCE" and "BREACHES) IN HIS JUNE 25TH, 2010, LETTER TO CMKM SHAREHOLDERS.

<http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/index.html>

<http://cmkx.info/>

CASE IN POINT #1

FACTS

The Duke of Duplicity mentions in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that "...Once this occurred, CMKM was forced into litigation mode that required extreme amounts of time to be dedicated to investigation and discovery of the facts which has led to the possession of several hundred thousand pages of documents. Because of those events, the Company was not left with many new things to report to shareholders on a daily, weekly or even monthly basis..."

<http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/index.html>

The Duke of Duplicity fails to put out a press release for long periods of time: e.g., from May 3rd, 2007, to June 8th 2008; from September 12th, 2008, to July 2nd, 2009; and from July 4th, 2009, to March 2nd, 2010.

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

QUESTIONS

Why has the Duke of Duplicity waited until his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders to explain the reasons for his failure to "report to shareholders on a daily, weekly or even monthly basis?"

Why couldn't the Duke of Duplicity have explained the reasons for his failure to "report to shareholders on a daily, weekly or even monthly basis" long before his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders?

Could the Duke of Duplicity's June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM

shareholders be a direct response to the COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT (05-24-10) and the COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CMKM MANAGEMENT-ADDENDUM (06-24-10)?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for his failure to have already explained the reasons he failed to "report to shareholders on a daily, weekly or even monthly basis?"

Could the Duke of Duplicity be attempting to cover up his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by posting his June 25th, 2010 letter to CMKM shareholders?

Could some CMKM shareholders be too intelligent/aware to be duped by this transparent ploy of the Duke of Duplicity?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be finding it impossible to escape from his 3+ years of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be civilly liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be criminally liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #2

FACTS

The Duke of Duplicity wrote in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that, "I still believe that CMKM Diamonds, Inc. was a victim of NAKED SHORTING..." [emphasis added by author]

<http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/index.html>

See COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT (05-24-10).

CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT APPEARS TO BE GUILTY OF IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES AND IN FACT MIGHT BE AIDING AND ABETTING/PROTECTING THE VERY PERPETRATORS THAT/WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE NSS OF CMKM'S STOCK AS EVIDENCED BY ITS STATEMENTS AND ALLEGED EXAMINATION/INVESTIGATION THAT CONTRADICTS CURRENT LITIGATION AND MITIGATES THE SEVERITY OF THE NSS OF

CMKM STOCK, CASE IN POINT #2.

<http://cmkx.info/>

QUESTIONS

What caused the Duke of Duplicity, BEFORE becoming Chairman/CEO/President of CMKM, to believe that CMKM was a victim of illegal naked short selling (NSS)?

What caused the Duke of Duplicity, AFTER becoming Chairman/CEO/President of CMKM, to flip-flop and begin referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as the legal failures to deliver (FTD)?

What caused the Duke of Duplicity, in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders, to flip-flop yet again and reassert his initial belief that CMKM was a victim of illegal NSS?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be guilty of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for his initially flip-flopping and referring to the illegal NSS of CMKM's stock as the legal FTD?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be attempting to cover up his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by flip-flopping yet again and reasserting his initial belief that CMKM was a victim of illegal NSS?

Could some CMKM shareholders be too intelligent/aware to be duped by this transparent ploy of the Duke of Duplicity?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be finding it impossible to escape from his 3+ years of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be civilly liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be criminally liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #3

FACTS

The Duke of Duplicity mentions in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that he "recently visited personally with attorney Al Hodges...has "known and remained friends with Al

Hodges ever since that fateful day in March of 2007 within an hour or so after Urban Casavant turned the Company over to me.....was not privileged to the evidence that he maintains..." that Al Hodges "has irrefutable evidence of funds being held for eventual distribution to the CMKM shareholders and that he believes that the release of those funds is imminent."

<http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/index.html>

See COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT (05-24-10)

CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT APPEARS TO BE GUILTY OF IMPOTENCE AND BREACHES AND IN FACT MIGHT BE AIDING AND ABETTING/PROTECTING THE VERY PERPETRATORS THAT/WHO WERE INVOLVED IN THE NSS OF CMKM'S STOCK AS EVIDENCED BY ITS STATEMENTS AND ALLEGED EXAMINATION/INVESTIGATION THAT CONTRADICTS CURRENT LITIGATION AND MITIGATES THE SEVERITY OF THE NSS OF CMKM STOCK, CASE IN POINT #1.

<http://cmkx.info/>

QUESTIONS

Why has the Duke of Duplicity mentioned in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that he "recently visited personally with attorney Al Hodges" and has "known and remained friends with Al Hodges ever since that fateful day in March of 2007 within an hour or so after Urban Casavant turned the Company over to me?"

Why has Duke of Duplicity "recently visited personally with attorney Al Hodges" at this SPECIFIC time?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be attempting to associate himself with Al Hodges with the hope that some of the immense popularity of Al Hodges will rub off on him?

Why has the Duke of Duplicity mentioned in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that Al Hodges "has irrefutable evidence of funds being held for eventual distribution to the CMKM shareholders and that he believes that the release of those funds is imminent?"

Could the Duke of Duplicity be attempting to cover up his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES for failing to take similar appropriate ACTION by associating himself with the Bivens Action of Al Hodges?

Could some CMKM shareholders be too intelligent/aware to be duped by this transparent ploy of the Duke of Duplicity?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be finding it impossible to escape from his 3+ years of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be civilly liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be criminally liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS

CASE IN POINT #4

FACTS

The Duke of Duplicity announces in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that, "CMKM intends to hold its first ever official shareholder's meeting within the next 12 months."

See COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT (05-24-10).

BOTH THE PREVIOUS CMKM MANAGEMENT AND THE CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT HAVE APPARENTLY FAILED TO CALL AN ANNUAL SHAREHOLDER MEETING THEREBY BREACHING CMKM'S BYLAWS, VIOLATING NRS 78.310-78.330, AND MAKING THEM GUILTY OF MISMANAGEMENT.

<http://cmkx.info/>

In a March 30th, 2007 PR, Urban Casavant announces that he steps down as "Chairman of the Board, sole Director, President, Secretary and Treasurer of CMKM Diamonds, Inc." due to "health issues" and appoints the Duke of Duplicity, current interim CEO and a person with neither apparent business nor mining background "to take over at the helm."

http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/news_index.html

When the Duke of Duplicity announces in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that, "CMKM intends to hold its first ever official shareholder's meeting within the next 12 months," he is in fact admitting that he is guilty of breaching CMKM's bylaws, violating NRS 78.310-78.330, violating Texas Business Corporation Act, Article 2.24, and mismanagement for failing to have already called

three "official shareholder's" meetings since he was appointed "to take over at the helm."

<http://www.cmkmdiamondsinc.com/index.html>

<http://www.diamonds.net/news/NewsItem.aspx?ArticleID=16955>

<http://law.onecle.com/texas/business-corporation/2.24.00.html>

QUESTIONS

Why has the Duke of Duplicity waited until his June 25th, 2010, letter to announce to CMKM shareholders that, "CMKM intends to hold its first ever official shareholder's meeting within the next 12 months?"

Why hasn't the Duke of Duplicity already called three "official shareholder's" meetings as required by CMKM's bylaws, NRS 78.310-78.330, and Texas Business Corporation Act, Article 2.24?

Could the Duke of Duplicity's announcement in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that, "CMKM intends to hold its first ever official shareholder's meeting within the next 12 months" be a direct response to the COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CURRENT CMKM MANAGEMENT (05-24-10) and COALITION EVIDENCE AGAINST CMKM MANAGEMENT-ADDENDUM (06-24-10)?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be attempting to cover up his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES by announcing in his June 25th, 2010, letter to CMKM shareholders that, "CMKM intends to hold its first ever official shareholder's meeting within the next 12 months?"

Could some CMKM shareholders be too intelligent/aware to be duped by this transparent ploy of the Duke of Duplicity?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be finding it impossible to escape from his 3+ years of IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be civilly liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

Could the Duke of Duplicity be criminally liable for his IMPOTENCE and BREACHES?

END OF QUESTIONS